

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,593	10/19/2001	Matthew P. Kulig	. M-11742 US	6376
75	590 11/15/2006		EXAM	INER
KOESTNER BERTANI LLP			BURGESS, BARBARA N	
18662 MACAR	THUR BOULEVARD			
SUITE 400	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92612			2157	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	.,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence	10/037,593	KULIG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara N. Burgess	2157
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-19 and 21-27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-19 and 21-27 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		. (DTO 442)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/037,593 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Office Action is in response to amendment filed September 15, 2006. Claims 1-3, 5-19, 21-27 are presented for further examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 5-13-19, 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lachman, III et al. (hereinafter "Lach", US Patent Publication 2002/0166063 A1).

As per claim 1, Lach discloses a system for controlling transmission of data packets through an information network, comprising:

- A Regional Transaction Processor (RTP) (paragraphs [0070-0072]);
- A data Enabling Device (DED) operable to:
  - a. Receive one or more data packets from the information network (paragraphs [0110-0111]);
  - Detect when the one or more data packets include content match information (paragraphs [0112, 0117]);
  - c. Issue a message to a workstation and invoke the RTP to process a

Art Unit: 2157

transaction when the content match information is detected in the one or more data packets, wherein DED is operable to prevent further transmission of the one of more packets based on the content match information (paragraphs [0101, 0111, 0120-0121, 0124]).

As per claim 2, Lach discloses the system as set forth in claim 1, wherein the transaction processed is based on the content match information (paragraphs [0017, 0020]).

As per claim 5, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1, wherein the RTP comprises a network server and a database, and is operable to process transactions for requests for content (paragraphs [0069-0071])

As per claim 6, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1, wherein the DED is located at a network access point (NAP) (paragraph [0069]).

As per claim 7, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1, further comprising a plurality of DEDS along a network route, wherein each DED is operable to communicate with at least one of the other DEDS (paragraph [0116]).

As per claim 8, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 7, wherein the plurality of DEDS include a first DED that generates a message and one or more

Art Unit: 2157

intermediate DEDS operable to forward the message to the DED closest to the workstation along the network route (paragraph 0116]).

As per claim 9, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 7, wherein the plurality of DEDS are operable to communicate with each other to prevent transmitting more than one message for the same data packet through the network route (paragraph [0117]).

As per claim 10, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim wherein the RTP transmits a Release Content or Cease-content message to the DED, based on whether the at least one data packet was authorized to be downloaded to the workstation (paragraph [0190]).

As per claim 11, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1, wherein the DED includes Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAS) (paragraphs [0065-0066]).

As per claim 12, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 11, wherein the FPGAS can be reprogrammed over the network to perform a content matching function (paragraphs [0065, 0067, 0081]).

As per claim 13, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 11, wherein a portion of the DED can be dynamically reprogrammed and the DED is operable to

Art Unit: 2157

(paragraph [0129]).

continue processing the data packets during the partial reprogramming (paragraph

[0114]).

As per claim 14, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1, further comprising a Central Storage and Backup System (CSBS) operable to communicate with the RTP, to monitor operation of the RTP, and to store transaction information

As per claim 15, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 14, wherein the CSBS is operable to transmit information to reprogram the DED to communicate with another RTP (paragraph [0114]).

As per claim 16, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1, further comprising a content matching server operable to store content match information, to communicate with the DED, and to transmit the content match information to the DED (paragraphs [0098, 0109-0110]).

As per claim 17, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1, wherein the DED is operable to suspend transmission of the data packets through the information network until a response to a prompt is received (paragraph [0111]).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 18, Lach discloses a method, an apparatus, and a computer program product for controlling transmission of identifiable content over an information network, comprising:

- Providing content match information for the content to a DED, wherein the DED is located in the information network along a transmission path of a plurality of data packets, wherein at least one data packet includes the content match information (paragraphs [0020, 0110]);
- Receiving the at least one data packet in the DED (paragraphs [0110-0111]);
- Detecting the content match information in the at least one data packet in the
   DED (paragraphs [0112, 0117]);
- Issuing a prompt to a workstation based on the content match information when the content match information is detected in the at least one data packet (paragraphs [0101, 0111, 0120-0121, 0124]).

As per claim 19, Lach discloses the method, an apparatus, and a computer program product as set forth in claims 18 and 28, wherein the prompt is based on the content match information (paragraphs [0017, 0020]).

As per claim 21, Lach discloses the method, an apparatus, and a computer program product as set forth in claims 18, 28, 36, further comprising: processing a transaction based on a user's response to the prompt (paragraph [0172]).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 22, Lach discloses the method, an apparatus, and a computer program product as set forth in claims 18, 28, 36, further comprising transmitting a message among a plurality of DEDS along the transmission path to prevent transmitting more than one prompt for the same data packet (paragraph [0117]).

As per claim 23, Lach discloses the method, an apparatus, and a computer program product as set forth in claims 18, 28, 39, further comprising: processing a transaction based on the content match information, and transmitting a Release Content or Cease Content message to the DED based on whether content was authorized to be downloaded to the workstation during the transaction (paragraph [0190]).

As per claim 24, Lach discloses the method, an apparatus, and a computer program product as set forth in claims 18, 28, further comprising: reprogramming a portion of the DED to detect different content match information (paragraph [0114]).

As per claim 25, Lach discloses the method, an apparatus, and a computer program product as set forth in claims 18, 28, further comprising suspending transmission of the at least one data packet through the information network until a response to the prompt is received (paragraph [0111]).

As per claim 26, Lach discloses a computer program product comprising: program instructions to implement the method of claim 18 (paragraphs [0064-0067]).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 27, Lach discloses a data signal comprising: program instructions to implement the method of claim 18 (paragraphs [0064-0067]).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lachman, III et al. (hereinafter "Lach", US Patent Publication 2002/0166063 A1) in view of May (US Patent 5,710,757).

As per claim 3, Lach discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1.

Lach does not explicitly disclose wherein the DED is operable to detect when the one or more data packets include content match information at a rate proportional to the rate at which the data packets are received.

However, in an analogous art, May discloses an electronic device setting a decoding rate to be an address rate, then reads the address data at the address rate. The device determines that the address data matches an address of the electronic device. The device then adjusts the decoding rate to be a message rate different than the address rate, where the message rate corresponds to the address (column 2, lines 11-25).

Art Unit: 2157

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to incorporate or implement May's detecting when the one or more data packets include content match information at a rate proportional to the rate at which the data packets are received in Lach's system allowing service providers to transmit and electronic device to process different types of messages at different rates.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N. Burgess whose telephone number is (571) 272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,593 Page 10

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2157

November 12, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100